

Now comes, Third-Party Witness, Luis Carvalho ("Mr. Carvalho"), seeking a continuance of the hearing scheduled for April 16, 2008 to the date of the next scheduled status conference and continuance of the court's order requiring Mr. Carvalho to identify with particularity as to each deposition interrogatory his 5th Amendment Objections and in what respect a responsive answer may incriminate him. Mr. Carvalho incorporates document 105 herein by reference.

- His counsel was provided with a copy of his deposition for the Defendant's on the evening of Thursday, April 11, 2008
- Mr. Carvalho has begun reviewing his deposition transcript with the assistance of counsel on April 13, 2008 but the process continues
- Mr. Carvalho believes that it will take him at least one week to complete the process of reviewing his deposition transcript for the purposes of responding to the court's order (with the assistance of counsel)

**LUIS CARVALHO'S MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE OF HEARING AND COURT'S ORDER
FOR RESPONSES TO DEPOSITION**

SHENIA DANCY-STWEART as
Administrator of the Estate of
EVELINE BARROS-CEPEDA
Plaintiff,
V.
THOMAS TAYLOR, JR., and the
CITY OF BOSTON
Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-11803-MLW

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

A. Damien Puller

/s/ A. Damien Puller

issue.

Counsel certifies that he has conferred and has attempted in good faith to resolve or narrow the

L.R. 7.1 Certification

A. Damien Puller

/s/ A. Damien Puller

I, A. Damien Puller, hereby certify that, this 14th day of April, 2008, I sent a copy of the above document by electronic mail to all counsel at their e-mail addresses of record.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

DATED: April 14, 2008

(617) 803-1700

Mansfield, MA 02048

P.O. Box 461

252 West St.

A. Damien Puller, Esq.

/s/ A. Damien Puller

By his attorney,

LUIS CARVALHO

Respectfully submitted,

Court's Order (Document 105).

Granting a Continuance to the Status Conference for Mr. Carvalho to Comply with the
Wherefore, Third Party Witness, Luis Carvalho, moves this court to enter an Order

has no objection to Mr. Carvalho's motion.

Counsel states that he has conferred with the Defendant's Counsel. Defendant's Counsel

Court grants his motion

• Mr. Carvalho believes that he can fully comply with the Court's Order if the

improper response could result in the loss of his liberty

• His responses to the Court's Order require deliberation and examination as an